

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,120	08/25/2003	L. Taylor Arnold	ARNOLD-5	8894
7590 06/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Eric A. LaMorte			MILLER, BENA B	
LaMorte & Associates, P.C.				
P.O. Box 434			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Yardley, PA 19067-8434			3712	
		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,120	ARNOLD, L. TAYLOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bena Miller	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte. cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
, , –	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
	Examinor. Note the attached o					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bure		coved in this realistic stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lie		ceived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,120

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 7, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baumgartner et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Baumgartner et al teaches a remotely controlled vehicle system comprising a remote control signal transmitter (16), a master model vehicle (10) and at least one slave model (20).

Regarding claim 2, Baumgartner further teaches the at least one slave model is oriented in a formation with the master model vehicle (p. 2, lines 12-14).

Regarding claim 3, Baumgartner further teaches the formation is selectively adjustable by the remote transmitter (p.2, lines 12-14).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Baumgartner further teaches the master and at least one slave model vehicle is a car (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 15, the examiner takes the position that the at least one secondary vehicle and the remote controlled vehicle generally have the same shape and appearance.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,120

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6, 8-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumgartner et al in view of Greenley.

Baumgartner teaches in the figures most of the claimed elements of the invention. However, Baumgartner fails to teach at least one linkage and multiple slave model vehicles. Greenley teaches in the figures a control system for vehicles having linkages 3,4 to connect the cars when traveling. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect a linkage as taught by Greenley to the master and at least one slave model vehicle of Baumgartner for the purpose of having the vehicle travel in a straight course.

Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have multiple slave model vehicles, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ8.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Norman et al teaches a system and method for managing and controlling a robot competition. Young teaches a control for model vehicles on a track.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner Art Unit 3712

bbm June 01, 2004